

Evidence-based Innovation methodology as a way to produce Open Educational Resources by in-service teachers

Celia Paola Sarango-Lapo
Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja
San Cayetano Alto
cpsarango@utpl.edu.ec

Juanjo Mena
Universidad de Salamanca
Pº Canalejas, 169 37009
juanjo-mena@usal.es

María-Soledad Ramírez-
Montoya
Tecnológico de Monterrey
Avda. Garza Sada 2501 sur, col.
Tecnológico
solramirez@itesm.mx

ABSTRACT

Innovation-based evidence methodology is a newly teaching approach that promotes the use of peer-reviewed information as grounds to design teaching projects. For this to occur three designing stages are needed 1: choosing the object to be studied, 2: searching for evidence in the literature, 3: write the innovative teaching project and two implementation stages: 4: enactment and evaluation, 5: Dissemination which are advised to be accomplished. The completion of those phases may serve to produce Open Educational Resources (OER), which at last, are seen as one of the products of educational innovation. The purpose of this paper is to show what object of study the participant in-service teachers selected for their innovation projects (phase 1) and how they produced OER –methodology- (phase 4) accordingly. Data analysis was conducted using a descriptive exploratory methodology. Results indicate that in-service teachers mainly chose projects in the disciplines of language and mathematics within primary and secondary education levels. On the other hand, Problem based learning (teaching strategy), b-learning (teaching modality) and IDB (teaching resource) were the most used methodologies for OER production. This leads us to understand that language teachers are more prone to design innovative projects in their classrooms. Additionally, they are mostly worried to implement innovative strategies in the OER they produced, rather than teaching modalities or ICT resources.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

Applied computing–Education

General Terms

Performance, Design, Experimentation, Human Factors.

Keywords

Innovation based evidence, open resource education, training in-

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

TEEM'16, November 2–4, 2016, Salamanca, Castilla y León, Spain.
Copyright 2010 ACM 1-58113-000-0/00/0010 ...\$15.00.

service teachers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Universities and higher education institutions worldwide are immersed in change process to improve its quality teaching processes [20]. The use of Information and Education technology enables universities to increase their possibilities to innovate in their practices one of the way is using Open Educational Resources (OER). The use of OER involves some activities to do such as reusing, redistributing, revising and remixing, but also with the support of OER the virtual academic communities have the chance to share and disseminate innovative educational practices [16].

Additionally, the integration of OER in education requires teachers to learn digital [19]. Digital Competence is recognized as a key competence for 21st century citizens [6]. International Organizations have developed a teaching digital competences framework in order to guide and highlight the role of the teacher when using technology [10; 24].

The aim of this paper is to show how in-service teachers of a postgraduate on-line course produce OER as a result of a design and implementation of an innovation project using a strategy called innovation based evidence.

2. FRAMEWORK

The emergence of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT), particularly Internet, has opened new ways to produce knowledge and disseminate within the Teacher Educators community [18]. One of the way is to develop OER as significant educational tools for equalizing access to education and guarantee free access to them [7]. Disseminate OER allow to know best teaching practice too.

OER has gained acceptance by educational researchers because it brings renewed ways of teaching practice. Teachers Educators have focused their attention on making students' learning with OER as they enables extensive and democratic practices [15]. Also, they are increasingly becoming facilitators who formulates challenges and offer support anytime from anywhere [2]. For that reason, it is important the implication of teachers as main responsible of the use and dissemination of OER as a best teaching practice.

To date, researches have highlighted the role of university and tertiary education as the predominant channel to promote the OER

practices as innovative methods for teaching [8]. However, research also highlights that teachers, as active element in education, do not always demonstrate confidence to use open technology (OER) because evidences about good practices on how to use them are needed [3; 5; 22]. Other reason highlights the lack of skills needed to use technology and pedagogical issues [1; 4; 14; 15]. It is notorious as mentioned by [17] and [3] that there is a big challenge to face in education, if we want to link the gap between practice and research.

It has been largely recommended that teachers should be trained in the use of OER. According to [24] there is a need for training of ICT in order to improve the teacher's skill. Studies have revealed that teachers do not yet integrate technology effectively into their classroom [5; 9; 21] it is because is necessary pedagogic training [12; 13] to successful diffusion and adoption technology or OER. However, [5] mentioned that knowledge is not enough if teacher do not perceive by him/her self-competent in his use.

There is an innovative methodology that allows using, producing, and disseminating OER among teachers; its name is Evidence-Based Innovation in Education (EBIE). [23] mention that there is need for innovation in teaching practices, for guiding teachers to find the best practices and support their own practices, through activities such as finding scientific literature, critical reading, and evidence's evaluation found. At the same way [17] state that teachers should be able to find information, critical reading, and writing projects skills in order to put knowledge into practice through inquiry strategies supported by digital skills.

Therefore, it is widely assumed that EBIE has five steps 1) choosing the object of study, 2) searching for evidence in the literature, 3) write the innovative teaching project, 4) enactment and evaluation, 5) Dissemination.

3. THESIS STATEMENT

3.1 Problem statement

It seems that OER is not often used in the daily teaching practice [16], for that reason might be recommended to go for another kind of innovation model which allow for an effective integration: IEBE methodology could be an alternative [23].

3.2 Research Objectives

Our interest about this study is to analyze the EBIE model, specifically, how its application can support the use, production and dissemination of OER. In this study we are going to highlight the ability that in-service teachers who participation in an on-line course of a postgraduate program, to designing innovators project (phases 1 to 3) and its implementation (phases 4 and 5). For this study, phase 1 is one of the most representative because it shows how in-service teachers choose the object of study to write an innovator project; and also, phase 4 was chosen because it shows how in-service teachers produced OER.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology chosen to analyze the data was descriptive-exploratory [11]. This methodology involved the application of phase 1 and phase 4 of the EBIE model.

4.1 Sample

A total of 96 in-service teachers of an on-line postgraduate program, which is called "Modelos de Gestión del Aprendizaje" [Management models for learning] participated in the study. It was taught at the Instituto Tecnológico de Monterrey (ITESM, Mexico) during four months in 2016. Out of the initial sample, 94 in-service teachers completed successfully the on-line course.

4.2 Data collection

Data was collected upon three questions of a survey, which was applied to the in-service teachers. The first one was about application level of the innovator project, which involves user level, institutional level and national level. The second one was about educational level, that is to say, the educational degree to be applied in the innovation project (i.e., being educational level according the way of theirs jobs). The third question was about working field (See Table 1).

Data about production of OER was collected from participants' documents and was later uploaded to the learning platform as a result of their learning (See Table 2).

4.3 Data analysis

At the end of the on-line course, in-service teachers answered to the question's survey. We analyzed the before three questions regarding to the first and four phases of the EBIE model.

The elaboration of an OER was understand for the purposes of this paper as a research paper produced during and at the end of the implementation of the innovation project in the on-line course.

5. Results

Main findings indicate how teachers work in the first step of EBIE. Teachers in the online course needed to start with a planning session where they mark the level they work in the project. Please see Table 1.

Table 1. Choosing the object of study (Phase 1- IEBE)

Dimension	Description	F	%
Application Level	User level	77	80
	Institutional level	17	18
	National level	2	2
	Subtotal	96	100
Educational degree	Kinder	4	4
	Primary school	35	36
	Secondary	7	7
	Post secondary	45	47
	Undergraduate studies	4	4
	Postgraduate studies	1	1
	Subtotal	96	100
Subject matter	Arts	5	5
	Science	19	20
	Social Science	4	4

Spanish Language	12	13
Humanities	4	4
English and other languages	11	11
Mathematics	20	21
Educational Technology	12	13
Other	9	9
Subtotal	96	100

As shown in table 1, 80% of the in-service teachers chose to conduct an innovation project at a user's level that involved students and educators. Just 18% mentioned that it is going to be applied to institutional level, which involves administrative staff, librarians and technicians. Only 2% of the sample chose national level, which involve government, national agencies of accreditation and civil organizations.

Table 1 also highlights that there are 36% of the in-service teachers who applied their innovation projects at the primary school level and 47% in post secondary education. Regarding to work field, we can see that Mathematics (21%) and Sciences (20%) were the most chosen areas whereas Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences show percentages around 5%.

Table 2 shows the results of OER produced by in-service teachers.

Table 2. Results of OER produced (Phase 4 - EBIE)

Dimension	Category	Subcategory	F	%
Subject-matter knowledge	Sciences	Natural Science	17	18
		Educative Technology	12	13
		Mathematics	20	21
	Humanities	Language	41	44
		Ethical principles	4	4
		SUBTOTAL	94	100
Methodology	Modalities	Face to face	4	4
		b-learning	13	14
		e-learning	9	10
		m-learning	8	9
	Strategies	Problem-based learning	20	21
		Flipped learning	10	11
		Collaborative Learning	2	2
		music-therapy methodology	3	3
	Resources	White Digital Board	4	4
		audiovideo book	4	4
		Offline resources	4	4
		Electronic portfolio	11	12
		wiki	2	2
SUBTOTAL	94	100		

This phase was focus on five domains of knowledge where Language, Mathematics and Educational Technology were the most chosen, and because they are the main competencies.

6. Discussion

6.1.1 About the OER design stage

EBIE model guides teachers to create and design innovation projects that improve their teaching practice and learning experience. The results at the design stage (phase 1) evidenced how the most in-service teachers choose a designing innovator project to apply in the user level the reason might be explained by the fact that most in-service teachers are not working as a manager or executive and it does not allow them to have the enough resources to implement project in those levels. But it allows as mentioned [15] focusing their attention and efforts on making students' learning a great experience. Furthermore, EBIE model can support in-service teachers to use, create and disseminate OER during the design stage of an innovation project.

On the other hand primary school and post-secondary teachers were the ones that mostly focused on improving their teaching practices through innovation projects. This may be explained by the fact that they have received more training hours on this regard and also because they are required so in their work. Another reason points to the fact that in mandatory educational levels that are being constantly assessed by the educational administration higher levels of ICT knowledge are required. Those reasons highlight that in-service teachers with the support of ICT produced new knowledge through innovation projects [18] leading to innovative teaching practices and the improvement of the learning process [2].

It is evident that the most innovative projects were found in the subjects of Natural Science and Mathematics field. Conversely, Social Science and Humanities were few represented showing that science are more prone to open new ways to innovation.

6.1.2 About the OER Production stage

OER production (phase 4) revealed two dimensions according to its focus and results: Subject matter knowledge and methodology.

Subject matter knowledge has been divided into Sciences and Humanities. The majority of OER was found in Mathematics and Language, probably because those subjects are representing the key competences from primary to post secondary school.

The methodology dimension showed that the b-learning modality was predominant as well as the online learning types. This may be explained by the characteristics of the school teaching programs.

As for the teaching strategies, in-service teachers showed especial concern in problem-based learning and Flipped learning. Most of the OER focused on them probably because they are novel methodologies greatly encouraged to be used in classroom.

Finally, regarding resources, Electronic portfolio was the preferred technology among the participants, probably because there is a high demand to offer students the chance to interact with subject contents and developing digital skills.

The OER produced by the teachers contributed to promote their methods as innovative ways for teaching [8]. On the other hand the training they received in digital skills serve to link teachers' practices with research [3; 17; 23].

One limitation of this study, though, is the sample size. This fact makes it difficult to generalize the collected results to other school

contexts. For that reason we suggest expanding the study sample to other contexts with the purpose to better assess the real impact of OER.

Even though in-service teachers produced OER using EBIE, we believe that it is necessary to continue training teachers with the purpose to develop digital and research skills [17; 23]. This way, they could accomplish an effective integration of OER and technology in classes [5; 9; 21]. Through on-line course we can let the teachers rethink about the role of OER as tools for equal access to education and digital inclusion [7].

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research work has been conducted under the PhD Programme on Education in the Knowledge Society at the University of Salamanca and thanks to the data collected by the Instituto Tecnológico de Monterrey (ITESM, Mexico)

The authors would like to thank the Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja (Ecuador) for its scholarship to study this PhD programme.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] Badarch, D., Knyazeva, S., and Lane, A. 2012. Introducing the opportunities and challenges of OER: The case of the Commonwealth of Independent States and the Baltic States. In J. Glennie, K. Harley, N. Butcher, & T. van Wyk (Eds.), *Open educational resources and change in higher education: Reflections from practice* (pp. 27–39). Vancouver: Commonwealth of Learning.
- [2] Colpaert, J. 2012. The “Publish and Perish” syndrome. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 25(5), 383–391. DOI= <http://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2012.735101>
- [3] Cook, B., Smith, G. J., and Tankersley, M. 2012. Evidence-based practices in education. In and T. U. (Editors-in-C. C. K. R. Harris, S. Graham (Ed.), *APA educational psychology: Theories, Constructs, and Critical Issues handbook* (Vol. 1, pp. 493–595). DOI= <http://doi.org/10.1037/13273-017>
- [4] Dimitriadis, Y. et al. 2009. New design approaches to repurposing open educational resources for collaborative learning using mediating arte-facts Conference Item.
- [5] Ertmer, P. A. and Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. 2010. Teacher Technology Change. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255–284. DOI= <http://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2010.10782551>
- [6] European Commission 2010. European e-Competence Framework 2.0. Framework. October (2010), 1–43
- [7] Hussain, I., Chandio, J., and Sindher, R. 2013. A Study on Attitude of University Academia towards the Use of Open Educational Resources in. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*. 7, 2(2013), 367-380.
- [8] Hu, E., Li, Y., Li, J., and Huang, W. H. 2015. Open educational resources (OER) usage and barriers: a study from Zhejiang University, China. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 63, 6(2015), 957–974. DOI= <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-015-9398-1>
- [9] Instefjord, E. 2015. Appropriation of digital competence in teacher education. *Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy*, 2015, 4(2015), 155–171.
- [10] ISTE. 2008. International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) Standards for Teachers. (2008), 1–2.
- [11] Johnson, A. J. and Gosling, S. 2010. *Advanced Methods for Conducting Online Behavioral Research*. Washington, D. C.: American Psychological Association.
- [12] Krumsvik, R. J. 2008. Situated learning and teachers’ digital competence. *Education and Information Technologies*, 13, September (2008), 279–290. DOI= <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-008-9069-5>
- [13] Lane, A. and van Dorp, C. A. 2011. *Diffusion and adoption of Open Educational Resources*. eLearning Papers, 23.
- [14] OECD (2007). Giving knowledge for free: the emergence of open education resources.
- [15] Parisky, A. and Boulay, R. 2013. Designing and developing Open Education Resources in higher education : A molecular biology project. *International Journal of Technology, Knowledge and Society*. 9, 2(2013), 145–155.
- [16] Ramírez, M.S. 2011. *Creación y uso educativo de contenidos digitales en el Movimiento Educativo Abierto: Alcances y retos a través de redes latinoamericanas*. III Congreso de Tecnologías de la Información en la Educación y en la Sociedad: una vision crítica. Barcelona, España.
- [17] Ramírez, M. S. 2012. *Modelos y estrategias de enseñanza para ambientes presenciales y a distancia* [eBook]. México: Editorial Digital.
- [18] Sánchez, A.B., Mena, J., He, G., and Pinto, J. 2013. Teacher development and ICT: The effectiveness of a training program for in-service school teachers. *Procedia: Social and Behavioral sciences*. 92(2013), 529 – 534
- [19] Sarango-Lapo, C.P. et al. 2015. Prácticas Educativas Abiertas: experiencias de innovación en una institución de educación superior del Ecuador. *VIRTUalis*. 6, 12 (2015), 217–234.
- [20] Salinas. 2004. Innovación docente y uso de las TIC en la Enseñanza Universitaria. *Revista Universidad y Sociedad del Conocimiento*. 1(2004), 1-16.
- [21] Selwyn, N. 2007. The use of computer technology in university teaching and learning: A critical perspective. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. 23 (2007), 83-94.
- [22] Tejedor, F., García-Valcárcel, A., and Prada, S. 2009. A scale for the measurement of University teachers’ attitudes towards the integration of ICT. *Comunicar*. 17, 33(2009), 115–124. DOI= <http://doi.org/10.3916/c33-2009-03-002>
- [23] Tejedor, F. 2007. Innovación educativa basada en la evidencia (IEBE). *Bordón : Revista de Orientación Pedagógica*. 3, 59 (2007), 475–488.
- [24] UNESCO 2008. Estándares de competencias en TIC Docentes. (2008), 1–28.